

Migration to Red Hat Enterprise Linux

Ulrich Drepper Consulting Engineer



Why Migrate to Linux?

Linux has many advantages, neither Unix nor Windows has them all:

- Wide ranging support for commodity hardware
- Same OS supported from PDAs to super computers
- Support for seven architectures in RHEL:
 - Different architectures provide
 - the same API
 - Optimizations for different tasks
 - No hardware vendor lock-in
- Completely open APIs with no advantages for any ISV



Problems when Migrating

A developer faces a number of problems:

- Different tools (compiler, linker)
 - Different options
 - Differences in the accepted language
- Differences in the programming environment
 - Difference standards (or lack thereof)
 - Noncompliance to standards
 - Closed-source libraries not available
- Different runtime characteristics
 - Same code might run faster or slower
 - If code runs slower, code needs rewrite



Preparation of Migration

A number of preparation steps can ease porting:

- The GNU tools (compiler, linker, etc) are available on other OSes as well
 - Compile project on the other OS with the tools to be used on RHEL
 - Eliminate dependencies on language extensions of old compiler
 - Use correct options for tools
 - Enable all warnings and eliminate them
 - Add -Wall -Wextra to compiler command line
- Identify platform-specific interfaces used
 - Solaris threads vs POSIX threads
 - DCE
 - Rewrite code without these interfaces.



Compile on Linux

After the preparation getting compilation started is easy

Possible remaining problems:

- Remaining platform-specific code (e.g., #ifdef __solaris__)
- Remaining architecture-specific code
 - Assembler code for different architecture (SPARC or PA RISC vs x86-64)
 - Different assembler syntax (Intel vs AT&T on x86)
 - Endianess problems (big vs little)
 - 32-bit vs 64-bit issues
- Closed-source libraries not available on Linux
 - Persuade 3rd party ISV to port libraries
 - Find replacement among plethora of libraries available on Linux



Aside from Compilation

The compilation process is not the only step which needs adjustment:

- Debugging: gdb is available on other platforms as well
 - Limited GUI capabilities outside Eclipse
- Memory handling debugging:
 - Purify available for Linux
 - Non-proprietary solutions:
 - Purify-like: valgrind
 - Special compile mode: mudflap
- Profiling:
 - gprof: old-Unix style, coarse granularity, exact call tree
 - Oprofile: system-wide profiling; kernel, applications, or DSOs
 - SystemTap: detailed kernel performance analysis



Standard Compliance

Goal of standard compliance is easier migration:

- Linux complies to POSIX wherever possible
 - Minor differences exist
 - No formal POSIX testing (nobody volunteered recently to pay \$\$\$)
- Linux supports more POSIX options than any Unix OS
 - http://people.redhat.com/drepper/posix-option-groups.html
- A program using POSIX interfaces correctly should need almost no porting
 - API specified in standard (names of headers, data types interfaces)
 - Semantic specified to a great extend
 - Programs must not use unspecified behavior
 - Difficult to test this does not happen



Standard Compliance (cont.)

- gcc with glibc, libstdc++, and libgcj implement
 - Almost all of ISO C99 (only some minor features missing)
 - Most of ISO C++
 - Accepted language very close to ISO C++ (unlike other compilers)
 - Main missing feature: export keyword
 - C++ library fully supported and highly optimized
 - Fortran90 support
 - Not complete, but usable
 - Java support
 - gcj supports compilation to native code: higher speed
 - gij provides interpreter
 - libgcj mostly complete library support (as of Java 2)



Java

Certified Java environments available:

- Sun JVM
 - Available for x86, x86-64, and IA-64
- IBM JVM
 - Available on all seven architectures
- BEA JVM
 - Available for x86, x86-64, and IA-64
- Soon: Apache Harmony
- J2EE stacks
 - From the JVM providers
 - Jonas
 - JBoss



Migrating from Windows

It is a completely different story:

- The Windows API has nothing in common with the POSIX API
 - No 1-to-1 mapping
 - Some Windows APIs cannot be implemented in terms of POSIX interfaces
- Use of Windows (wine) emulation libraries not real migrating
 - Incomplete, always will be since MSFT is adding to it
 - Incredibly inefficient
 - GUI incompatible with native interface
- Possible to use POSIX interfaces on Windows
 - Unix Services for Windows
 - Cygwin



Adopt to Linux Environment

Last step: make the migrated application fit in

- If MOTIF widget set is used, convert to use gtk+
 - Native look & feel
 - Interaction with Linux applications through bonobo
 - Better resource usage
- Use Linux-specific interfaces
 - For performance
 - To reduce risk in programming
- Add support for advanced security
 - Extension to SELinux policy
 - Adjust build process to take advantage of ExecShield and related security features



Questions? Comments?

Contact: drepper@redhat.com

